From: Sivak, Michael
To: Mitchell, Tanya

Subject: RE: Technical Memorandum on Candidate Technologies Review Request

Date: Friday, April 03, 2015 12:00:39 PM

Tanya,

I reviewed this report and have the following comments. I apologize if you are already aware of all of these!

- 1. I'm not sure what the trigger was for submitting this, but it's a bit early in the process to be presenting candidate technologies since we aren't sure if surface water or sediment require any remedial action. I checked with John Prince, and this document does not need to be revised or finalized, since it's just a list, but the next document that comes in should address all of our comments.
- 2. I suggest that we ask for an addendum listing candidate technologies for surface water and/or sediment, once we get the final results of the BERA and know if surface water or sediment need remediation.
- 3. Although having the RAOs included is helpful (as Katie suggested in one of her comments), it isn't necessary at this point. The next document will start to evaluate this list of technologies to eliminate some of them, and the RAOS and RGs should be identified by that point so that information can be used to help focus the list of technologies.

Thanks!

Michael Sivak 212.637.4310

From: Mitchell, Tanya

Sent: Wednesday, March 18, 2015 1:40 PM

To: Mishkin, Katherine; Ferreira, Steve; Sivak, Michael; Clemetson, Michael

Subject: FW: Technical Memorandum on Candidate Technologies Review Request

Hello All.

Please find attached the revised draft Technical Memorandum on Candidate Technologies. Your review is requested. Any comments or edits are **requested by April 8, 2015**. Please use the following format for your comments.

Section 3.7.1 Description, paragraphs 3 and 4:

Should you have any questions regarding this email or cannot make the requested date for comments, please feel free to give me a call.

Regards,

Tanya

212 637-4362